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Control problems for quasilinear deterministic systems without time lag were analyzed in $[1,2]$. In the present paper the control of quasilinear stochastic systems, whose theory has been presented in $[3-6]$, is studied. The approximate synthesis of the control of stochastic systems with aftereffect is of importance since the construction of their exact optimal control is successful only in exceptional cases [7,8]. In the paper an approximate optimal control synthesis algorithm is proposed and a method for obtaining error bounds, different from ones previously obtained [9,10], is developed.

1. Let $\{Q, \sigma, P\}$ be a fixed probability space; $\left\{Q_{t}, t \geqslant 0\right\}$ be a monotonically decreasing family of $\sigma$-algebras, $Q_{t} \subset \sigma, W(t)=\left(W_{1}(t), \ldots, W_{N}(t)\right)$ be an $N$-dimensional standard Wiener process; $\nu^{\circ}(t, A)$ be a centered Poisson measure with parameter $t \Pi(A)$; the process $W(t)$ and the measure $v^{\circ}(t, A)$ be mutually independent and $Q_{t}$-measurable when $t \geqslant 0$. The measure $\Pi(A)$ is defined on Borel sets in Euclidean space $R^{\mathfrak{n}} ; H_{0}$ is the set of deterministic functions $\varphi(s)(-h \leqslant s \leqslant 0)$ with values in $R^{n}$, having limits from the left and also being right-continuous when $s<0$. The norm in $H_{0}$ is defined by the equality

$$
\|\varphi\|=\sup _{-h \leqslant s \leqslant 0}|\varphi(s)|
$$

The functionals encountered later on in the paper, specified on $[0, T] \times H_{0}$, are reckoned to be measurable relative to the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets of space $[0, T] \times$
$H_{0}$. By $\theta_{t}, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$, we denote the family of operators associating the function $\theta_{t} \xi=\xi(t+s)$ with an arbitrary function $\xi\left(s_{1}\right),-h \leqslant s_{1} \leqslant T$. Here $s$ ranges the values $-h \leqslant s \leqslant 0$ for each fixed $t$.

Our purpose in the paper is to construct an approximate optimal control and to estimate the error for a stoohastic system of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \xi(t)=\left(\varepsilon f\left(t, \theta_{1} \xi\right)+B(t) u\right) d t+d \eta(t)  \tag{1.1}\\
& d \eta(t)=\sum_{r=1}^{N} b_{r}(t) d W_{r}(t)+\int_{R^{n}} C(z, t) v^{\circ}(d t, d z), \quad \theta_{0} \xi=\varphi_{0} \in H_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\xi(t) \in R^{n}$ is the phase vector and $u \in R^{l}$ is the control). The initial condition $\varphi_{0}$ and the number $T$ are prescribed and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ is a small parameter. The functional $f(t, \varphi)$ is measurable and defined on $[0, T] \times H_{0}$. It is assumed that a function $r(t, \tau)$, nonnegative and nondecreasing in $\tau$, exists, for which the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(t, \varphi)|^{2} \leqslant a_{0}+\int_{0}^{h}|\varphi(-\tau)|^{2} d_{\tau} r(t, \tau)  \tag{1,2}\\
& |f(t, \varphi)-f(t, \psi)|^{2} \leqslant \int_{0}^{n}|\varphi(-\tau)-\psi(-\tau)|^{2} d_{\tau} r(t, \tau) \\
& \sup _{0 \leqslant 1 \leqslant T} \int_{0}^{h} d_{\tau} r(t, \tau)<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

are valid. The $n \times l$-matrix $B(t)$ and the $n$-dimensional vectors $b_{r}(t)(r=$ $1, \ldots, N)$ and $C(z, t)\left(z \in R^{n}\right)$ are measurable and bounded in $[0, T]$. We note that the system

$$
d \xi_{1}(t)=\left(A(t) \xi_{1}(t)+\varepsilon f\left(t, \theta_{t} \xi_{1}\right)+B(t) u\right) d t+d \eta(t)
$$

is easily reduced to a system of form (1.1) by the change of variables $\xi_{1}(t)=Z(t)$ $\xi(t)$. Here $Z(t)$ is a solution of the matrix differential equation $Z=A Z$ with initial condition $Z(0)=I$, where $I$ is the unit matrix.

Let $D$ be the class of functionals $V(t, \varphi)$ in $[0, T] \times H_{0}$, such that for any function $\varphi(\tau)$, fixed for $-h \leqslant \tau<0$, and for an arbitrary vector $x=\varphi$ (0) the function $V_{\varphi}(t, x)=V(t, \varphi)$ is twice continuously differentiable in $x$ and has a continuous derivative in $t$ for almost all $t$ from [0,T]. With system (1.1) we connect an integro-differential operator $L_{u}$ defined on $D$ and having the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{u} V(t, \varphi)=L_{0} V_{\varphi}(t, x)+(\varepsilon f(t, \varphi)+B(t) u)^{\prime} \nabla V_{\varphi}(t, x) \\
& L_{0} V_{\varphi}(t, x)=\frac{\partial V_{\varphi}(t, x)}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{N} b_{r}^{\prime}(t) \nabla^{2} V_{\varphi}(t, x) b_{r}(t)+ \\
& \quad \int_{R^{n}}\left[V_{\varphi}(t, x+C(z, t))-V_{\varphi}(t, x)-C^{\prime}(z, t) \nabla V_{\varphi}(t, x)\right] \Pi(d z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the prime is the sign of transposition and $\partial V_{\varphi} / \partial t$ is the partial derivative in $t$, while $\nabla V_{\varphi}$ and $\nabla^{2} V_{\varphi}$ are, respectively, the vector of first derivatives and the matrix of second derivatives with respect to $x=\varphi(0)$ of the function $V_{\varphi}(t, x)=V(t, \varphi)$ with function $\varphi(\tau)$ fixed on $-h \leqslant \tau<0$.

An arbitrary control $u$ is said to be admissible if under this control system (1.1) has a solution (not necessarily unique) and the functional $G(0, u)$, where

$$
G(t, u)=M_{\varphi}\left\{H\left(\xi^{u}(T)\right)+\int_{i}^{\mathbf{T}} F\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi, u(s)\right) d s\right\}
$$

is finite. Here $M_{\Phi}$ is the mean, computed under the condition that the trajectory of process $\xi^{u}(s)$ on $[t-h, t]$ is fixed and coincides with a specified function $\varphi \in$
$H_{0}$. The functions $H(x)$ and $F(t, \varphi, u)$ are prescribed and are nonnegative. Let $U$ be the class of admissible controls. The optimal control problem consists in choosing from $U$ the control $u$ under which functional $G(0, u)$ is minimal. In general, the optimal control depends upon time $t$ and upon the trajectory $\theta_{t} \xi^{u}$ of the
controlled process up to instant $t$, i. e., has the form of a functional $u(t, \varphi)$, measurable on $[0, T] \times H_{0}$, such that $u(t)=u\left(t, \theta_{t} \xi\right) \in U$. The following theorem is valid [7].

Theorem. Let there exist a functional $V(t, \varphi) \in D$ and a control $v=$ $v(t, \varphi) \in U_{\text {satisfying the conditions }}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{u} V(t, \varphi)+F(t, \varphi, u) \geqslant 0, \quad L_{v} V(t, \varphi)+F(t, \varphi, u(t, \varphi))  \tag{1,3}\\
& \quad 0, \quad V(T, \varphi)=H(\varphi(0))
\end{align*}
$$

for almost all $t \in[0, T]$, for all $\varphi \in H_{0}$ and for all $u \in U$. Then control $v$ is optimal in the sense of performance index $G(t, u)$, and the relation

$$
G(t, v)=\inf _{u \in V} G(t, u)=V(t, \varphi)
$$

is valid for all $t \geqslant 0$ and $\varphi \in H_{0}$.
In what follows it is assumed that

$$
F(t, \varphi, u)=\varphi^{\prime}(0) F(t) \varphi(0)+u^{\prime} N(t) u, \quad H(x)=x^{\prime} H x
$$

where $N(t)$ and $F(t)$ are measurable and bounded, $N(t)$ is uniformly positive definite and $F(t)$ and $H$ are nonnegative-definite matrices. Let $V(t, \varphi)=V_{\varphi}$ $(t, x)$ be the minimal value of the performance index under the initial condition $\theta_{t} \xi$ $=\varphi$. Conditions (1.3) can then be combined into one relation that is an analog of Bellman's equation for the problem being analyzed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{u \in V}\left[L_{0} V_{\varphi}(t, x)+(\varepsilon f(t, \varphi)+B(t) u)^{\prime} \nabla V_{\varphi}(t, x)+x^{\prime} F(t) x+\right. \\
& \left.\quad u^{\prime} N(t) u\right]=0, x=\varphi(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whence it follows that $V_{\Phi}(t, x)$ is determined by the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0} V_{\varphi}(t, x)+\varepsilon f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla V_{\varphi}(t, x)+x^{\prime} F(t) x=  \tag{1.4}\\
& \quad 1 / 4 \nabla V_{\varphi}^{\prime}(t, x) B_{1}(t) \nabla V_{\varphi}(t, x) \\
& V_{\varphi}(T, x)=x^{\prime} H x, \quad x=\varphi(0), \quad B_{1}=B N^{-1} B^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The optimal control $v(t, \varphi)$ equals

$$
v(t ; \varphi)=-1 / 2 N^{-1}(t) B^{\prime}(t) \nabla V(t, \varphi)
$$

2. It is well known [11] that when $\varepsilon=0$ Eq. (1.4) has an exact solution of form $V_{0}(t, \varphi)=\varphi^{\prime}(0) P(t) \varphi(0)+P_{1}(t)$. Here the matrices $P$ and $P_{1}$ are bounded, nonnegative-definite and depend only on the parameters of system (1.1) and of the performance index. When $\varepsilon=0$ the optimal control is

$$
u_{0}(t, \varphi)=u_{0}(t, \varphi(0))=-N^{-1}(t) B^{\prime}(t) P(t) \varphi(0)
$$

Let us show that this control is the zeroth approximation to the optimal one, i.e., yields an error of the order of $\varepsilon$ in the performance index.

We introduce the following notation $\xi^{u}$ is the solution of system (1.1) with $\varepsilon>0$ and control $u$; $\xi_{0}{ }^{4 s}$ is the solution of system (1.1) with $\varepsilon=0$ and control $u ; u$ and $v$ are, respecitvely, the control and the optimal control in system (1.1) with $\varepsilon>0$. We assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\varepsilon}(u)=M_{\varphi_{0}}\left[\xi^{\prime}(T) H \xi(T)+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}(s) F(s) \xi(s)+u^{\prime}(s) N(s) u(s)\right) d s\right] \\
& V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=I_{\varepsilon}(v), \quad V_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing the measurable random processes $a(t)=u_{0}\left(t, \xi_{0} u_{0}(t)\right)$ and $b(t)=v$ ( $t, \theta_{i} \xi_{\varepsilon}{ }^{v}$ ) as the controls in $Q_{t}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in U} I_{\mathrm{e}}(u) \leqslant I_{\mathrm{\varepsilon}}(a)=V_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+\left[I_{\mathrm{e}}(a)-I_{0}(a)\right] \\
& V_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in U} I_{0}(u) \leqslant I_{0}(b)=V_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+\left[I_{0}(b)-I_{\mathrm{\varepsilon}}(b)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|V_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \max \left[\left|I_{0}(a)-I_{\varepsilon}(a)\right|\right.  \tag{2,1}\\
& \left.\left|I_{0}(b)-I_{\varepsilon}(b)\right|\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Further, using the arguments used in [6], we can show that for any $Q_{l}$-measurable random process $\gamma(t)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} M_{\varphi_{0}}|\gamma(t)|^{2} d t \leqslant C\left(1+\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \equiv C^{\circ} \tag{2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

there holds the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\varphi_{0}}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}(t)\right|^{2}\right\} \leqslant C^{\circ} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and everywhere subsequently $C$ stands for certain distinct positive constants depending on the control problem's parameters but not on the initial condition of system (1.1). The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\varphi_{0}}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma}(t)-\xi_{0}^{\gamma}(t)\right|^{2}\right\} \leqslant \varepsilon^{2} C^{\circ} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be proved similarly. From (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|I_{\varepsilon}(\gamma)-I_{0}(\gamma)\right|=\mid M_{\varphi_{0}}\left[\left(\xi_{e}^{\nu}(T)-\xi_{0}^{v}(T)\right)^{\prime} H\left(\xi_{e}^{\gamma}(T)+\xi_{0}^{\nu}(T)\right)+\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad \int_{0}^{T}\left(\xi_{e} \gamma(s)-\xi_{0}^{\gamma}(s)\right)^{\prime} F(s)\left(\xi_{e} \gamma(s)+\xi_{0}^{\gamma}(s)\right) d s \mid \leqslant \\
& \quad C\left[M_{\varphi_{0}}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{e}^{\gamma}(t)+\xi_{0} \gamma(t)\right|\right\} \times\right. \\
& \left.\quad M_{\varphi_{0}}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{8}^{\gamma}(t)-\xi_{0}^{\gamma}(t)\right|\right\}\right]^{1 / 2} \leqslant \varepsilon C^{\circ}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us show that controls $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ satisfy an inequality of form (2,2). Let

$$
q=\inf _{t \in[0, T],|u|=1} u^{\prime} N(t) u
$$

Since $N(t)$ is positive definite uniformly in $t$, then $q>0$. Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{T} M_{\varphi_{0}}|a(t)|^{2} d t=\int_{0}^{T} M_{\varphi_{0}}\left|u_{0}\left(t, \xi_{0}^{u_{0}}(t)\right)\right|^{2} d t \leqslant \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T} M_{\varphi^{\bullet}} \times
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}^{\prime}\left(t, \xi_{0}^{u_{0}}(t)\right) N(t) u_{0}\left(t, \xi_{0}^{u_{0}}(t)\right) d t \leqslant \frac{1}{q} I_{0}\left(u_{0}\right) \leqslant \\
& \frac{1}{q} I_{0}(0) \leqslant C M_{\varphi_{0}}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{0}{ }^{\circ}(t)\right|^{2}\right\} \leqslant C^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for $b(t)$. Consequently, the estimates of form (2.3) - (2.5) are valid for controls $a(t)$ and $b(t)$. Hence from (2.1) follows

$$
\left|V_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon C^{\circ}
$$

Estimates of form (2.3)-(2.5) can be obtained similarly for the control $u_{0}$, making use of its linearity with respect to $\xi(t)$. Consequently,

$$
\left|J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)-J_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon C^{\circ}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leqslant J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)-J_{\varepsilon}(v) \leqslant\left|J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)-J_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)\right|+\mid V_{0}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-  \tag{2.6}\\
& V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \mid \leqslant \varepsilon C^{\circ}
\end{align*}
$$

## Q.E. D.

We note that in this proof we made essential use of a certain auxiliary controlled system for which control $u_{0}$ is optimal and functional $V_{0}(t, \varphi)=V_{\varphi}{ }^{\circ}(t, \varphi(0))$ is Bellman's function. We use this proof method later on. To be precise, at each step an auxiliary controlled system is constructed, for which the next approximation $u_{k}$ to the optimal control is itself optimal and some functional $Q_{k}(t, \varphi)=Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, \varphi$
$(0))$ is Bellman's function. For $k \geqslant 1$ we choose Eq. (1.1) as the auxiliary controlled system, while the functional $Q_{k}$ differs from the Bellman's function for the original problem by an amount of order $\varepsilon^{k+1}$. The need for bounds of form (2.4) is now eliminated. In addition, no assumptions are made on the Bellman equation for the original problem when proving the error estimates.

To illustrate what we have said we present another proof of estimate (2.6) different from the preceding one. It is precisely this proof that will be generalized later on to higher-order successive approximations. First of all we note that the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0} W_{\varphi}(t, x)+\varepsilon f^{\prime}(t, \varphi)\left(\nabla W_{\varphi}(t, x)-2 P(t) x\right)+  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad x^{\prime} F(t) x=1 / 4 \nabla W_{\varphi}^{\prime}(t, x) B_{1}(t) \nabla W_{\varphi}(t, x) \\
& W_{\varphi}(T, x)=x^{\prime} H x, \quad x=\varphi(0)
\end{align*}
$$

defines Bellman's function for the optimal control problem with equation of motion (1.1) and performance index $I_{\varepsilon}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=J_{\varepsilon}(u)-2 \varepsilon M_{\varphi_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s}, \xi_{\varepsilon}{ }^{u}\right) P(s) \xi_{\varepsilon}{ }^{u}(s) d s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From relations (1.4) with $\varepsilon=0$ it follows that the functional $V_{0}(t, \varphi)=\varphi^{\prime}(0) P(t) \varphi(0)$ $+P_{1}(t)$ is a solution of Eq. (2.7) for any $\varepsilon>0$. Similarly to [9] it can be shown that the solution of Eq. (2.7) is unique for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Thus, $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is

Bellman's function and $u_{0}$ is the optimal control for problem (1.1), (2.8).
Now let $W_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $C(t)=u_{0}\left(t, \xi_{\varepsilon}{ }^{u_{0}}(t)\right)$. As before, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqslant J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)-J_{\varepsilon}(v) \leqslant\left|J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)\right|+ \\
&\left|W_{\mathcal{E}}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|J_{\mathcal{E}}\left(u_{0}\right)-I_{\mathcal{e}}\left(u_{0}\right)\right|+ \\
& \max \left[\left|J_{\varepsilon}(C)-I_{\varepsilon}(C)\right|,\left|J_{\varepsilon}(b)-I_{\varepsilon}(b)\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume

$$
\alpha(u)=M_{\varphi_{0}}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{\varepsilon}^{u}(t)\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

From (2.8) and (1.2), for any $u \in U$ follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|I_{\mathrm{e}}(u)-J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon C\left[\alpha ( u ) \left(1+\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}+\right.\right. \\
\alpha(u))]^{1 / 2} \leqslant \varepsilon C\left(1+\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}+\alpha(u)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence (2.6) follows from the fact that estimates (2.3) hold for controls $u_{0}, C$ and $b$.
3. We now pass on to the higher ( $k \geqslant 1$ ) approximations to the optimal control. The algorithm for constructing these approximations is as follows. We represent functional $V$ as the series

$$
V(t, \varphi)=V_{0}(t, \varphi)+\varepsilon V_{1}(t, \varphi)+\varepsilon^{2} V_{2}(t, \varphi)+\ldots
$$

where $V_{i} \in D$. We substitute this expansion into Eq. (1.4) and we equate the coefficients of like powers of $\varepsilon$ to zero. Allowing for Eq. (1.4) for $V_{0}(t, \varphi)$ with $\varepsilon=0$, we obtain that functionals $\quad V_{i}(t, \varphi)=V_{\varphi}^{i}(t, x) \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)$ are determined by the recurrence equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0} V_{\varphi}^{i}(t, x)+f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{i-1}(t, x)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \nabla V_{\varphi}^{j^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{1}(t) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{i-j}(t, x) \\
& V_{\varphi}^{i}(T, x)=0, \quad x=\varphi(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Having thus determined $V_{i}(t, \varphi)(i=1, \ldots, k)$, we specify the $k$-th approxmation to the optimal control as

$$
u_{k}(t, \varphi)=-1 / 2 N^{-1}(t) B^{\prime}(t)\left[\nabla V_{0}(t, \varphi)+\ldots+\varepsilon^{k} \nabla V_{k}(t, \varphi)\right]
$$

The effectiveness of the algorithm presented depends upon the ability to compute the functionals $V_{i}(t, \varphi)$. For $V_{0}(t, \varphi)$ there is an explicit formula. For $i \geqslant 1$ by virtue of (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L V_{i}(t, \varphi)+S_{i}(t, \varphi)=0, \quad V_{i}(T, \varphi)=0  \tag{3.2}\\
& S_{i}(t, \varphi)=f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla V_{i-1}(t, \varphi)-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime}(t, \varphi) B_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \nabla V_{i-j}(t, \varphi)
\end{align*}
$$

In (3.2) $L$ denotes the generating operator of the stochastic differential equation without time lag

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \xi(s)=-B_{1}(s) P(s) \xi(s) d s+d \eta(s), \quad s \in[t, T], \quad \theta_{t} \xi=\varphi \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In deriving (3.2) we used the following indentity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{i} \nabla V_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{1}(t) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{i-j}(t, x)= \\
& \quad 4 B_{\mathrm{I}}(t) P(t) x+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \nabla V_{\varphi^{\prime}}^{j^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{i-j}(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma $1[7]$. Let $V(t, \varphi) \in D$ and $L_{1}$ be the generating operator of system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \xi(s)=a\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right) d s+d \eta(s), \quad \theta_{t} \xi=\varphi(t \leqslant s \leqslant T) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$

$$
M_{\varphi} V\left(t_{2}, \theta_{t_{2}} \xi\right)-M_{\Psi} V\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}, \theta_{t_{1} \xi} \xi\right)=\int_{i_{1}}^{t_{2}} M_{\varphi} L_{1} V\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right) d s\left(t \leqslant t_{\mathrm{x}} \leqslant t_{2} \leqslant T\right)
$$

From Lemma 1 follows
Lemma 2. Let $V(t, \varphi) \in D$ and for any $t \in[0, T]$

$$
L_{1} V(t, \varphi)+r(t, \varphi)=0, \quad V(T, \varphi)=0
$$

where $L_{1}$ is the generating operator of system (3.4). Then functional $V(t, \varphi)$ is representable as

$$
V(t, \varphi)=M_{\varphi} \int_{i}^{T} r\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right) d s
$$

where $\xi(s)$ is a solution of system (3.4).
For $i=1$ we write (3.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L V_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)+2 f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) P(t) x=0  \tag{3.5}\\
& V_{\varphi}^{1}(T, x)=0, \quad x=\varphi(0)
\end{align*}
$$

If $V_{\varphi}{ }^{1}$ and $W_{\varphi}{ }^{1}$ are two solutions of Eq. (3.5), then for $R_{\varphi}{ }^{1}=V_{\varphi}{ }^{1}-W_{\varphi}{ }^{1}$ it follows [4] from $L R_{\varphi}{ }^{1}(t, x)=0$ and $R_{\varphi}{ }^{1}(T, x)=0$ that $R_{\varphi}{ }^{1}(t, x) \equiv 0$, i. e. , the solution of Eq. (3.5) is unique. The uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (3.2) for all $i \geqslant 0$ can be proved similarly by mathematical induction. On the basis of Lemma 2, from this and from relations (3.2) and (3.3) follows the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}(t, \varphi)=M_{\Phi} \int_{i}^{T} S_{i}\left(\tau, \theta_{\tau} \xi\right) d \tau \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\xi(\tau)$ is a solution of Eq. (3.3), and for $\tau \leqslant t$ the process $\xi(\tau)$ is determined by the equality $\xi(\tau)=\varphi(\tau)$, where $\varphi(\tau)$ is a prescribed deterministic function.

In some cases the computation of the right hand side of (3.6) reduces to a quadrature. For instance, let $f\left(t, \theta_{t} \xi\right)=f(t, \xi(t-h))$, where $h \geqslant 0$ is a specified constant, and let $p(t, x, s, y)$ be the transfer probability density of the process specified by Eq. (3.3). Then when $i=1$ representation (3.6) can be written as ( $0 \leqslant t+h \leqslant$ $T$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}(t, \varphi)=2 \int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{R^{n}} f^{\prime}(s, \varphi(s-h)) P(s) y p(t, \varphi(t), s, y) d y d s+ \\
& 2 \int_{t+h}^{T} \int_{R^{n}} \int_{R^{n}} f^{\prime}(s, z) P(s) y p(t, \varphi(t), s-h, z) p(s-h, z, s, y) d z d y d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that the density $p(t, x, s, y)$ occurring in the last formula also can be computed in explicit analytic form for certain systems of form (3.3). Estimates of the error in the functional, admissible under control $u_{k}$ for $k \geqslant 1$, are established analogously. Therefore, we give a detailed proof of the error estimate only for the firstapproximation control $u_{1}$ so important from the practical point of view.

As already noted, the main idea of the proof is the construction of an auxiliary control problem for which $u_{1}$ is the optimal control and functional $Q_{1}$, equalling $Q_{1}=V_{0}+\varepsilon V_{1}$, is Bellman's function. Let us construct the auxiliary control problem. We add Eq. (1.4), in which $\varepsilon=0$, and Eq. (3.1) multiplied by $\varepsilon$, in which $i=1$. Then for functional $Q_{1}(t, \varphi)=Q_{\varphi}{ }^{1}(t, x)$ we obtain the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0} Q_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)+x^{\prime} F(t) x+\varepsilon f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) Q_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4} \nabla V_{\varphi}^{1 \prime}(t, x) B_{1}(t) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)- \\
& \varepsilon^{2} f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)= \\
& \frac{1}{4} \nabla Q_{\varphi}^{1 \prime}(t, x) B_{1}(t) \nabla Q_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x), \quad Q_{\varphi}^{1}(T, x)=x^{\prime} H x, \quad x=\varphi(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $u_{1}$ is the optimal control and $Q_{1}(t, \varphi)$ is Bellman's function for the control problem with equation of motion (1.1) and performance index

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{1}(u)=J_{\varepsilon}(u)+\mathrm{e}^{2} M_{\varphi_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} \delta_{1}\left(s, \theta_{\varepsilon} \xi_{\varepsilon}{ }^{u}\right) d s \\
& \delta_{1}=1 / 4 \nabla V_{1}^{\prime} B_{1} \nabla V_{1}-f^{\prime} \nabla V_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assume that functional $V_{1}(t, \varphi)$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \nabla V_{1}(t, \varphi) \|^{2} \leqslant C\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
\alpha(u)=M_{\varphi_{t}}\left\{\sup _{0<t \leqslant T}\left|\xi_{e}^{u}(t)\right|^{2}\right\}, \quad u \in U
$$

Then, as above, it is easy to establish the inequality

$$
\left|I_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u)-J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{2} C\left(1+\left\|\varphi_{0}\right\|^{2}+\alpha(u)\right)
$$

In addition, for $V_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{1}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=I_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{1}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $c_{1}(t)=u_{1}\left(t, \theta_{t} \xi_{\mathrm{e}} \mu_{1}\right)$

$$
\left|V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-V_{\mathrm{e}}^{1}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \max \left[\left|I_{\mathrm{e}}^{1}\left(c_{1}\right)-J_{\varepsilon}\left(c_{1}\right)\right|,\left|J_{\mathrm{e}}^{1}(b)-J_{\varepsilon}(b)\right|\right]
$$

Bounds of form (2.3) are fulfilled for controls $b(t)$ and $c_{1}(t)$. Consequently,

$$
\left|V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-V_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{2} C^{\circ}
$$

Using (3.7) we can show that a bound of form (2.3) is valid for control $u_{1}$. Thus

$$
0 \leqslant J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{1}\right)-J(v) \leqslant\left|J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{1}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left(u_{1}\right)\right|+\left|V_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-V_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{2} C^{\circ}
$$

where the constant $C^{\circ}$ can be estimated in terms of the parameters of the original problem. Thus we have shown that for the original control problem the control $u_{1}$ yields an error in the functional of order $\varepsilon^{2}$. To complete the proof it remains to show that functional $V_{1}$ does indeed satisfy condition (3.7). From (3.6) with $i=1$ we have

$$
V_{1}(t, \varphi)=2 M_{\varphi} \int_{i}^{T} f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right) P(s) \xi(s) d s
$$

where $\xi(s)$ is a solution of Eq. (3.3).
Now let $\xi(s)$ be the solution of Eq. (3.3) for $\theta_{i} \xi=\varphi$ and $\xi_{1}(s)$ for $\theta_{t} \xi_{1}=$ $\varphi_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|V_{1}(t, \varphi)-V_{1}\left(t, \varphi_{1}\right)\right|^{2}=\left|M\left(V_{1}(t, \varphi)-V_{1}\left(t, \varphi_{1}\right)\right)\right|^{2}= \\
& 4\left|M\left(M_{\varphi} \int_{i}^{T} f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right) P(s) \xi(s) d s-M_{\varphi_{1}} \int_{i}^{T} f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{1}\right) P(s) \xi_{1}(s) d s\right)\right|^{2}= \\
& 4\left|M \int_{i}^{T}\left(f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right) P(s) \xi(s)-f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{1}\right) P(s) \xi_{1}(s)\right) d s\right|^{2} \leqslant \\
& 8 T\left[\int_{i}^{T} M\left(\left(f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi\right)-f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{1}\right)\right) P(s) \xi(s)\right)^{2} d s+\right. \\
& \int_{i}^{T} M\left(f^{\prime}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{1}\right) P(s)\left(\xi(s)-\xi_{1}(s)\right)^{2} d s\right] \leqslant \\
& C\left[\int_{i}^{T} M|\xi(s)|^{2} M\left|f\left(s, \theta_{1} \xi\right)-f\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{1}\right)\right|^{2} d s+\right. \\
& \left.\int_{t}^{T} M\left|f\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{1}\right)\right|^{2} M\left|\xi(s)-\xi_{1}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right] \leqslant \\
& C\left[\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right)\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{1} \mathbb{R}^{2}+\left(1+\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)\right\| \varphi-\varphi_{1} \|^{2}\right] \leqslant \\
& C\left(1+\|\varphi \mathbb{P}+\| \varphi_{1} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varphi_{1}(s)=\varphi(s)$ when $-h \leqslant s<0, \varphi(0)=x$ and $\varphi_{1}(0)=x+\Delta x$. Then $\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}=|\Delta x|^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla V_{1}(t, \varphi)\right|^{2}=\left|\nabla V_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)\right|^{2}=\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|V_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x)-V_{\varphi}^{1}(t, x+\Delta x)\right|^{2}}{|\Delta x|^{2}}= \\
& \quad \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mid V_{1}(t, \varphi)--V_{1}\left(t,\left.\varphi_{1}\right|^{2}\right.}{|\Delta x|^{2}} \leqslant \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} C\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}+\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}\right) \leqslant \\
& C\left(1+\|\varphi\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence follows (3.7)
4. For the zeroth and first approximations we have constructed auxiliary control problems with equation of motion (1.1) and performance indices differing from that of the original problems by amounts of the order of $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{2}$, respectively. Let us construct the auxiliary problem for which $u_{k}$ is the optimal control and the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}=V_{0}+\varepsilon V_{1}+\ldots+\varepsilon^{k} V_{k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is Bellman's function for all $k \geqslant 1$. We add Eq. (1.4), in which $\varepsilon=0$, and Eq. (3.1) multiplied by $\varepsilon^{i}(i=1, \ldots k)$. In the resulting equality we add and subtract the expression $1 / 4 \nabla Q_{k}{ }^{\prime} B_{1} \nabla Q_{k}$. As a result of this, with $\quad x=\varphi(0)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0} Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)+x^{\prime} F(t) x+\varepsilon f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)+ \\
& \quad \frac{1}{4}\left[\nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)-\right. \\
& \left.\quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \nabla V_{\varphi}{ }^{j^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{\mathbf{Y}}(t) \nabla V_{\varphi}^{i-j}(t, x)\right]- \\
& \varepsilon^{k+1} f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla V_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)=\frac{1}{4} \nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{\mathrm{I}}(t) \nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.1) we transform the epxression within brackets in the following manner:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{n+m} \nabla V_{m}^{\prime} B_{\mathrm{I}} \nabla V_{n}-\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime} B_{\mathbf{I}} \nabla V_{i-j}= \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=j}^{k+j} \varepsilon^{i} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime} B_{\mathrm{I}} \nabla V_{i-j}-\sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=j}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime} B_{\mathbf{r}} \nabla V_{i-j}= \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+j} \varepsilon^{i} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime} B_{\mathbf{I}} \nabla V_{i-j}=\varepsilon^{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \varepsilon^{i} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime} B_{\mathbf{I}} \nabla V_{i-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for functional $Q_{k}(t, \varphi)$ we have obtained the equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{0} Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)+\varepsilon f^{\prime}(t, \varphi) \nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x)+x^{\prime} F(t) x+ \\
\varepsilon^{k+1} \delta_{k}(t, \varphi)=1 / 4 \nabla Q_{\varphi}^{k^{\prime}}(t, x) B_{\mathbf{I}} \nabla Q_{\varphi}{ }^{k}(t, x) \\
\delta_{k}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \varepsilon^{i} \nabla V_{j}^{\prime} B_{1} \nabla V_{k+1+i-j}-f^{\prime} \nabla V_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, $Q_{k}(t, \varphi)$ is Bellman's function for the control problem with equation of motion (1.1) and with the functional

$$
I_{\varepsilon}{ }^{k}(u)=J_{\varepsilon}(u)+\varepsilon^{k+1} M_{\varphi_{\bullet}} \int_{0}^{T} \delta_{k}\left(s, \theta_{s} \xi_{\varepsilon^{u}}\right) d s
$$

to be minimized.
Representation (3.6) enables us to establish certain sufficient conditions and
constraints on $f(t, \varphi)$, under whose fulfilment the functionals $V_{i}(t, \varphi)$ satisfy a bound of form (3.7). After this, analogously to the preceding, we can prove that

$$
0 \leqslant J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{k}\right)-J_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(v) \leqslant \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{k+1} C^{\mathbf{v}}
$$

In conclusion we note that it is not difficult to generalize the results obtained tosystems of form

$$
d \xi(t)=\left(e f\left(t, \theta_{t} \xi\right)+B(t) u\right) d t+d \eta(t)+d \eta_{1}(t) \xi(t)
$$

where $\eta_{1}(t)$ is a matrix-valued process with independent increments, while the remaining parameters have the same sense as in Eq. (1.1), as well as to systems with noice in the control.
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